



**AFRICA-CHINA**  
CENTRE FOR POLICY & ADVISORY

ARTICLE



## **THE COMMERCIAL BLIND SPOT: WHY ESWATINI REMAINS OUTSIDE CHINA'S PREFERENTIAL TARIFF FRAMEWORK**

JUNE 2026

[www.africachinacentre.org](http://www.africachinacentre.org)



**AFRICA-CHINA**  
CENTRE FOR POLICY  
& ADVISORY

**ARTICLE**

June 2026

# **THE COMMERCIAL BLIND SPOT: WHY ESWATINI REMAINS OUTSIDE CHINA'S PREFERENTIAL TARIFF FRAMEWORK**



Author:

**Dr. Hans Seesaghur**  
Senior Research Fellow, **ACCPA**

[www.africachinacentre.org](http://www.africachinacentre.org)

---

**Disclaimer:**

The views expressed in this article are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the Africa-China Centre for Policy & Advisory (ACCPA).

**Suggested Citation:**

Seesaghur, Hans. "The Commercial Blind Spot: Why Eswatini Remains Outside China's Preferential Tariff Framework." Africa-China Centre for Policy & Advisory (ACCPA), June 2026.

**Copyright Line:**

© 2026 Africa-China Centre for Policy & Advisory (ACCPA). All rights reserved.

---

**C**hina's decision not to extend preferential tariff treatment to the Kingdom of Eswatini is fundamentally a story of missing infrastructure, not physical roads or ports, but the institutional architecture that makes trade agreements function in practice. At the heart of this impasse lies a simple but consequential reality: China does not maintain an embassy in Mbabane. Without resident diplomatic representation, Beijing lacks the on-the-ground economic intelligence apparatus necessary to identify which Eswatini products could realistically penetrate the Chinese market, to verify compliance standards, to troubleshoot sanitary and phytosanitary barriers, and to provide the sustained follow-up that transforms tariff concessions into actual trade flows. Preferential tariff agreements are not self-executing documents, they require continuous diplomatic stewardship, and Eswatini's exclusion reflects this operational truth more than any political animus.

The absence of an embassy is not a minor bureaucratic detail. Embassies serve as the nerve centers of bilateral economic relations, housing commercial counselors who monitor market trends, agriculture attachés who assess export readiness, and trade promotion officers who connect exporters with importers. In countries where China maintains robust diplomatic missions, these teams generate detailed market intelligence reports, organize buyer-seller matchmaking events, and intervene when shipments face customs complications or technical barriers. Eswatini, lacking this infrastructure, exists in a commercial blind spot. Beijing cannot dispatch investigators to evaluate whether Eswatini's sugar meets China's stringent import standards, whether its citrus orchards satisfy cold treatment requirements, or whether its textile factories comply with rules of origin documentation. Without this granular intelligence, a preferential tariff would be a hollow gesture, an offer on paper with no mechanism to convert it into container loads.

## **The Follow-up Gap**

Trade economists frequently observe that tariff preferences alone explain only a fraction of export growth and that the decisive factor is what happens after the agreement is signed. Vietnam's explosive rise in Chinese market share, for instance, owed less to tariff rates than to the relentless follow-up by Vietnamese trade officials embedded in their Beijing embassy, who spent years cultivating relationships with Chinese provincial procurement offices, e-commerce platforms, and state-owned distributors. Similarly, Ethiopian coffee's penetration of the Chinese market accelerated only after the Addis Ababa embassy's commercial section invested in cupping competitions, barista training programs, and sustained lobbying of Chinese coffee roasting conglomerates. These are not incidental activities; they are the essential connective tissue of trade and commercial diplomacy.

Eswatini possesses no such connective tissue with Beijing. A preferential tariff on Eswatini sugar would require Chinese customs officials to verify certificates of origin, agricultural inspectors to certify pest-free status, and quarantine laboratories to test for prohibited residues. Without an embassy to coordinate these interactions, to train Eswatini exporters in Chinese documentation requirements, and to escalate disputes when shipments are detained, the tariff preference would likely remain unutilized. Beijing understands this

dynamic intimately. Granting preferential access without follow-up capacity would set a precedent of failed promises, damaging China's credibility as a trade partner and generating frustration in Mbabane without yielding commercial results. The withholding of preferences is, in this light, a pragmatic recognition of institutional incapacity rather than a political statement.

## **The Commercial Intelligence Vacuum**

Economic intelligence in trade diplomacy operates at multiple levels. At the macro level, it requires understanding a partner country's productive capacity, infrastructure constraints, and regulatory environment. At the micro level, it demands knowledge of individual firms' export readiness, product quality consistency, and capacity to scale. China's embassy network across the African continent generates this intelligence continuously. Commercial counselors in Nairobi track Kenyan avocado maturation cycles, agriculture attachés in Dakar monitor Senegalese peanut harvest forecasts, trade officers in Lagos map Nigeria's informal manufacturing clusters. This information feeds into Beijing's trade policy calibration, determining which products to prioritize, which standards to negotiate, and which technical assistance to deploy.

Eswatini's exclusion from this intelligence grid means China operates in a data desert regarding the kingdom's commercial potential. Does Eswatini produce pineapple varieties that align with Chinese consumer preferences? Are its essential oil distilleries equipped for the volume demands of Chinese cosmetics manufacturers? Can its beef industry meet the traceability requirements of Chinese supermarket chains? Without embassy-based investigators to answer these questions, Beijing cannot design a preferential tariff schedule that matches actual supply with actual demand. A generic zero-tariff offer would be economically meaningless if the products covered are not export-ready or if the firms producing them lack the capital to penetrate a market 10,000 kilometers away. China's trade bureaucracy, renowned for its empirical rigor, is unlikely to endorse preferences built on speculation rather than verified intelligence.

## **A Path to Resolution**

The resolution of this trade impasse is structurally straightforward, even if politically complex. The establishment of full diplomatic relations would immediately trigger the embassy deployment that Eswatini's commercial development requires. A Chinese embassy in Mbabane would house the commercial, agricultural, and technical teams necessary to map Eswatini's export potential, to identify compliance gaps, and to design capacity-building programs that prepare firms for Chinese market entry. It would provide the follow-up mechanism that transforms paper preferences into cargo movements. Historical precedent supports this analysis, when China established relations with Burkina Faso in 2018, the subsequent embassy opening in Ouagadougou was accompanied by a rapid diagnostic of Burkinabe sesame and cotton export potential, leading to targeted tariff concessions and technical assistance that boosted bilateral trade within two years.

China's withholding of preferential tariffs from Eswatini is best understood, therefore, as an institutional constraint rather than a punitive measure as the west portrait it. Beijing cannot gather the economic intelligence required to make preferences meaningful, nor can it provide the sustained follow-up that converts tariff schedules into trade volumes, without the diplomatic platform that an embassy provides. The offer of preferential market access presumes a bilateral relationship robust enough to support the operational demands of trade facilitation. Where that relationship is absent, the offer cannot be responsibly made.

Eswatini's path to Chinese market access runs not through lobbying or negotiation, but through the foundational step of diplomatic normalization that would unlock the institutional machinery of economic cooperation. Until then, the tariff preference will remain an instrument that China cannot wield, not for lack of will, but for lack of eyes and ears on the ground.

---



## CONTACT

PLOT No. 49, Haatso RD, North Legon  
Accra, Ghana  
+233 303 965259

[info@afriachinacentre.org](mailto:info@afriachinacentre.org)

[www.afriachinacentre.org](http://www.afriachinacentre.org)